Recommendations – Resettlement Summary Table

| Issue | Recommendation | Responsible | Time Scale | Origin | Example of Issue |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | Stakeholders | | | |
| Unsustainable social and environmental performance | The financing of projects should be more closely tied to responsible social & environmental performance. | Financing organisations | Immediate | - | - |
| | Junior mining companies need to improve their social and environmental performance in line with international best practice. | Junior mining companies | Immediate | - | - |
| Involuntary resettlement decided without in-depth consideration of alternatives. | Where possible, always look for an alternative to involuntary resettlement. If the cost to change plans is seemingly excessive, then remember the cost required both financially and otherwise to affect an involuntary resettlement programme. | Mining companies | Project planning | - | - |
| Inadequate cost- benefit analysis | Facilitation of the more equitable distribution of the costs and benefits of a mining project. This requires improved cost-benefit analysis, risk analysis and a change in the decision-making process as to whether a project proceeds or not. | Mining companies, governments | Project planning | Section 5.2 | Most development projects |
| Inequitable distribution of costs and benefits | Involve local stakeholders in the project decision-making process such that they can benefit from the project and not only share the costs. | Mining companies, governments | Project planning | Section 5.2 | Most development projects |
| Lack of communication with, and participation by, affected communities | Begin consultations with the surrounding communities at the exploration stage of a mining project. Involve persons from the community at this stage and make use of experts in the social and development fields. Where possible, use local expertise. Remember to manage expectations, which may mean saying no. | Mining companies, implementation agent, government, communities | Exploration | Section 5.2; Section 7.2.2.4 | - |



| Issue | Recommendation | Responsible | Time Scale | Origin | Example of Issue |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| | | Stakeholders | | | |
| | Maintain open, honest and transparent communication and participation with all stakeholders throughout the project. | Mining companies, implementation agent, government | Throughout | Section 5.2; Section 7 | - |
| Lack of participation of authorities | Authorities must be brought on board from day one. | Mining companies, authorities | Project conception | - | - |
| Undemocratic consultation processes | All stakeholders need to participate e.g. women, the frail. | Mining companies, implementation agent, government | Project conception | Section 7 | - |
| Communities are unaware of their rights. | The resettlement process must be an educational process, which informs people of their rights in a clear and unambiguous manner. | Implementation agent, government, communities | Resettlement planning | Section 7 | - |
| Unsubstantiated prejudices towards affected communities | Attitudes towards social issues must change. Communities must be accorded the rights they deserve and their livelihood systems respected. Even if their systems do not enjoy legal status, merely explaining away their entitlement is totally inadequate. | Mining companies, Government | Immediate | Section 7 | - |
| RAP & SDP are commissioned by mining company to satisfy finance requirements. Once financing is approved actual implementation not enforced. | Mechanisms to ensure implementation of RAP & SDP should be clearly defined in financing agreement and enforced. | Financing organisations | Resettlement planning | Section 7 | - |



| Issue | Recommendation | Responsible | Time Scale | Origin | Example of Issue |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | Stakeholders | | | |
| Lack of commitment to the resettlement process. | The responsible senior mine official must fully support the resettlement process. The project team must demonstrate commitment, openness and flexibility. Sensitivity, not pity, toward the affected stakeholders is essential. | Mining companies, implementation agent, government | Resettlement planning | Section 5; Section 7 | - |
| Complicated approaches yield few results. | Keep strategies simple – start small and grow. Avoid spending large sums on conspicuous infrastructure that yields few benefits. | All | Resettlement planning, implementation | Section 7 | - |
| Insufficient use of local expertise to guide and implement resettlement. | The use of local organisations familiar with the communities is essential. Denying their involvement could be harmful to the process. | Mining company, Implementation agent | Resettlement planning, implementation | - | - |
| No clear national policies, guidelines and legislation for involuntary resettlement | Governments should develop guidelines and legislation for involuntary resettlement. | Government | Immediate | Section 5.2; Section 6.2; Section 6.2 | All southern African countries |
| Lack of adherence to existing involuntary resettlement guidelines | The recommendations contained in this report should be adopted, after discussion, by the mining industry and used as a basis for involuntary resettlement in conjunction with World Bank OD 4.30 and any relevant national legislation and policies. World Bank guidelines should be tailored to suit the case at hand. | Mining companies, Government | From now | Section 5.2; Section 8 | - |
| Lack of clearly defined roles and responsibilities in the resettlement process | Clearly define the roles and responsibilities of all stakeholders in the resettlement process. | All | Resettlement planning | Section 7 | - |



| Issue | Recommendation | Responsible | Time Scale | Origin | Example of Issue |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | Stakeholders | | | |
| Implementation agent caught in conflict of interest. | Ensure that the person/s responsible for implementing the RAP do not have conflicting interests i.e. working for the mining company but looking after the affected community's interests. Implementation agent should report to a representative committee, not only the financing organisation / mining company, thus ensuring impartiality. | Mining company, financing organisations, implementation agent | Resettlement planning | Section 7.2.2.3 | Konkola |
| Lack of coordination between resettlement programmes and local development plans. | Mining projects and resettlement programmes must dovetail with local / regional economic and social development objectives. | Mining companies, implementation agent, government | Project planning | - | - |
| Collection of useless data, which is used as a basis for resettlement planning. | Although economic data is relevant to the resettlement planning process, it is more important to understand the local socio-economic circumstances and to use this as the basis for resettlement planning. | Financing organisation, mining companies, implementation agent | Resettlement planning | Section 5.2; Section 7.2.4 | Most resettlement projects |
| Conflicts arise if mining companies and implementing agents deal only with community leaders, without involving entire community. | Leaders should be approached to facilitate access to community but consultation and decision-making needs to involve the entire community. | Mining company, implementation agent | Resettlement planning | Section 7 | Ga-Pila |



| Issue | Recommendation | Responsible | Time Scale | Origin | Example of Issue |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| | | Stakeholders | | | |
| Under-estimating the requirements of resettlement. | Ensure that compensation is provided to cover the lag time between resettlement and re-establishment e.g. crop establishment | Financing organisation, mining companies, implementation agent | Resettlement planning, implementation | Table 4 | Konkola |
| | Remember that it is very difficult to produce final plans before the resettlement process begins. This can result in under-estimating the financial and timing requirements of the process. | Financing organisation, mining companies, implementation agent | Resettlement planning, implementation | Table 4 | Many resettlement programmes |
| Lack of involvement of host communities in resettlement planning | Planning for resettlement must include the host communities. The availability of suitable resources needs to be determined to ensure that there are no shortages. | Implementation agent | Resettlement planning | Section 5.2; Table 4 | Many resettlement programmes |
| Inflexible time and budgetary provisions to implement RAP | Flexibility with the RAP and its budget must be allowed for, within limits. Better attention to detail earlier on will obviate the need for large cash injections later. | Mining company, implementation agent | Project and resettlement planning | Table 4 | Many mining companies |
| Inadequate evaluation of assets lost | Resource economists should be part of the resettlement planning process such that the nature of resources to be lost can be quantified and replaced. | Implementation agent | Resettlement planning | Section 5.2 | - |
| Loss of access to communal resources. | In cases of subsistence communities, ensure that compensation includes access to communal areas and common property resources. Evaluation must also take into account neighbouring communities who share these resources. | Mining companies, implementation agent, government | Resettlement planning | Section 5.2 | - |



| Issue | Recommendation | Responsible | Time Scale | Origin | Example of Issue |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | Stakeholders | | | |
| Compensation and assistance based on formal tenure systems. | Recognition of informal rights is necessary and provision of alternative land should include security of tenure. | Mining companies, implementation agent, government | Immediate | Section 5.2 | - |
| Disputes arise regarding unfair compensation for lost assets | The affected communities should sign off on the compensation they are to receive after a process that is transparent and agreed upon by all. | Mining companies, communities and implementation agent | After valuation presented | Table 4 | Ga-Pila |
| | Differences in value and type of compensation between groups and individuals must be clearly explained and understood. | Implementation agent, mining company | During valuation | Table 4 | Different sectors of communities at Bulyanhulu received different compensation without reason. |
| Lack of banking skills | Make provision for people receiving cash compensation to be assisted with financial management. | Implementation agent | At compensation | Table 4 | - |
| Lack of clearly defined grievance mechanisms | Dispute resolution procedures must be drawn up and adhered to. | Implementation agent | Planning | Table 4 | Konkola |
| Excessive focus on physical infrastructure provision | Livelihood reconstruction should receive equal, if not more, attention than the physical relocation itself. A comprehensive SDP should be developed and used to guide the reconstruction process. It should allow for ongoing monitoring of the resettlement and clearly define responsibilities. | Implementation agent | At start of planning | Table 3 | Bulyanhulu resettlement |



| Issue | Recommendation | Responsible | Time Scale | Origin Examp | Example of Issue |
|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|------------------|
| | | Stakeholders | | | |
| Unsustainable infrastructure. | Ensure that the physical infrastructure is sustainable. Building overly smart settlements may not serve the interests of sustainability or the community. This does not mean that standards have to drop, rather they should match the requirements of the resettlers and at the same time afford them an improvement. | Implementation agent, mining company | Planning | Table 4 | Konkola |
| Unsustainable technologies used for resettlement process. | Investigate the use of local, appropriate and "green" technologies rather than expensive foreign ones. | Implementation agent | Planning | Table 4 | Konkola |
| Resettlers not consulted on choice | Build "show houses" if possible before the resettlers choose their new homes. | Implementation agent | Implementation | Table 4 | Ga-Pila |
| of settlement layout | Community must be involved in design and layout of village. | Implementation agent | Infrastructure planning | Table 4 | Konkola |
| Lack of employment opportunities for affected communities. | Preferential employment policies must be adopted. | Implementation agent, mining company | Implementation and construction | Table 4 | Konkola |
| Delays in implementation cause anxiety. | Where delays are expected, this should be communicated in good time. | Implementation agent | Throughout | Table 4 | - |
| Lack of continuity in resettlement process | Consultants compiling RAP & SDP should be more closely involved in implementation and monitoring. | Mining company, financing organisations, consultants, implementation agent | Resettlement planning, implementation | Table 4 | Konkola |



| Issue | Recommendation | Responsible | Time Scale | Origin | Example of Issue |
|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| | | Stakeholders | | | |
| Lack of capacity for post-implementation requirements. | Ensure that those responsible post- implementation e.g. government, communities are equipped to carry out that responsibility. | Mining company, implementation agent, financing organisations, government, communities | Resettlement planning, implementation, post-resettlement | Section 5.2, Table 4 | - |
| Monitoring post- resettlement is neglected. | The group responsible for the resettlement must ensure that adequate resources are available to rectify any shortcomings. | Mining company, implementation agent, financing organisations | Resettlement planning, implementation, post-resettlement | Table 4 | - |

