

**INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR ENVIRONMENT AND DEVELOPMENT**

# **SUSTAINABILITY APPRAISAL**

## **A Review of International Experience and Practice**

By

**Barry Dalal-Clayton and Barry Sadler**

*First Draft of Work in Progress*

*December 2004*

This document represents a draft version of this report as at the date indicated above. It is work that is still very much in progress and we will continue to edit, update, expand and revise the text. It includes author's reminders, notes and comments. Comments and offers of inputs (information, cases) are welcomed.

*Work generously funded by  
The Royal Norwegian Ministry of Foreign Affairs*

**IIED**

3 Endsleigh Street  
London WC1H 0DD, England, UK  
Tel: +44-207-388-2117  
Fax: +44-207-388-2826

Contact e-mail: [barry.dalal-clayton@iied.org](mailto:barry.dalal-clayton@iied.org)  
Website: <http://www.iied.org/>



# CONTENTS

## **PART 1: Introduction and approaches to sustainability appraisal**

### **1. Introduction**

- 1.1 Study background and rationale
- 1.2 Study themes and approach
- 1.3 Background – trends and drivers in impact assessment and strategic planning
  - 1.3.1 Integration via strategic planning
  - 1.3.2 Integration via assessment
- 1.4 On the relationship of SEA and SA
- 1.5 Focus on terminology
- 1.6 A second look – what is being integrated in sustainability appraisal?
- 1.7 Sustainability appraisal in practice
- 1.8 Recapping where we are
- 1.9 The inevitability of making trade-offs and compromises

### **2 Sustainability Appraisal Frameworks and Approaches**

- 2.1 Retrospective approaches to measuring and analysing sustainability
  - 2.1.1 Accounts-based approaches
  - 2.1.2 Narrative assessments
  - 2.1.3 Indicator-based assessments
  - 2.1.4 An example of retrospective application
  - 2.1.5 Contributing measurements and analyses
  - 2.1.6 Deciding what to measure: a framework of parts and aims
- 2.2 Prospective approaches to assessment
  - 2.2.1 ASSIPAC
  - 2.2.2 Threshold 21
  - 2.2.3 Capital model
  - 2.2.4 Others [to be added]
- 2.3 The need to make trade-offs and compromises

## **PART 2: Dimensions of sustainability**

### **3 Environmental assessment for sustainability assurance**

- 3.1 Background: on the notion of limits
- 3.2 A framework for assessing environmental sustainability
  - 3.2.1 Basic concepts
  - 3.2.2 Valuation of capital stocks
  - 3.2.3 The Natural Step
  - 3.2.4 The IPAT relationship
  - 3.2.5 Basic premises and principles for electing a standard for environmental sustainability
- 3.3 Environmental sustainability principles
  - 3.3.1 Supply side principles
  - 3.3.2 Demand side principles
- 3.4 Approaches and tools for assessing the environmental sustainability of development
  - 3.4.1 Ecological footprint analysis
  - 3.4.2 Strengthening EIA and SEA as sustainability instruments

- 3.5 Precautionary guidelines for integrated environmental management (IEM)
  - 3.5.1 Safe minimum standards
  - 3.5.2 Harnessing the market
  - 3.5.3 Regulatory enforcement and damage liability
- 3.6 Conclusions and recommendations

## **4 Economics -based approaches**

- 4.1 Introduction
- 4.2 Economic definitions of sustainability and economic sustainability
  - 4.2.1 The term ‘sustainability’
  - 4.2.1 Types of capital
- 4.3 Economic applications of sustainability assessment
- 4.4 Approaches to integrating economic variables with social and environmental variables
  - 4.4.1 Approaches to integration in the estimation of impacts
- 4.5 Evaluation and comparison – integration of economic, environmental and social variables
  - 4.5.1 Assessment of projects
  - 4.5.2 Assessment of policy
  - 4.5.3 Economic performance
- 4.6 Regional sustainable development assessment
- 4.7 Debt sustainability assessment
- 4.8 Advanced sustainability analysis

## **5 Social assessment approaches**

- 5.1 Introduction
- 5.2 Principal findings
- 5.3 Social sustainability
  - 5.3.1 Components of social sustainability
  - 5.3.2 Basic needs and social well-being
  - 5.3.3 Social capital
  - 5.3.4 Social and cultural dynamism
  - 5.3.5 Equity: basic needs plus what?
- 5.4 Some specifics on substantive integration
  - 5.4.1 (Social) sustainability objectives:
  - 5.4.2 Social sustainability measures:
  - 5.4.3 Analytical and integrative tools and procedures aimed at substantive integration:
  - 5.4.4 Trade-off formats:

## **6 On integrated assessment**

- 6.1 Interpreting intregration
- 6.2 Experience in the UK
- 6.3 Other experience with integrated assessment
- 6.4 Approaches and techniques to support decision-making
  - 6.4.1 Sustainability-based environmental assessment
- 6.5 Consistency analysis matrix for policy assessment
- 6.6 Sustainability test
- 6.7 Sustainability impact assessments

## **PART 3: Methodologies and their application**

### **7 Land use and natural resource-based instruments**

- 7.1 Land evaluation methodologies
- 7.2 Land use sustainability analysis (LUSA)
- 7.3 Sustainability assessment of farms
- 7.4 Sustainability assessment of renewable energy projects

### **8 Business and industry approaches to sustainability assessment**

- 8.1 Environmental sustainability assessment
- 8.2 Corporate sustainability assessment
- 8.3 Product sustainability assessment
- 8.4 Sustainability assessment for enterprises (SAFE)
- 8.5 Sustainability assessment model (SAM)
- 8.6 Sustainable project appraisal routine (SPeAR)
- 8.7 Sustainability assessment for investment
  - 8.7.1 Bank Sarasin method
  - 8.7.2 Centre Info method
- 8.8 Sustainability assessment at Shell, Canada
- 8.9 SIGMA (Sustainability-integrated guidelines for management)

### **9 Trade applications**

- 9.1 The UNEP framework
- 9.2 The EC approach to sustainability impact assessment of trade policy
- 9.3 Other applications

### **10 Urban, municipal and community applications**

- 10.1 The BEQUEST categorisation
- 10.2 Municipal approaches
- 10.3 ISCAM (integrated sustainable cities assessment method)
- 10.4 Campus sustainability assessments
- 10.5 Citizen-based assessment
- 10.6 Municipal project sustainability assessment

### **11 Global sector-wide approaches**

- 11.1 The sustainable paper cycle study
- 11.2 The World Commission on Dams
- 11.3 The Mining, Minerals and Sustainable Development (MMSD) project

## **PART 4: Institutional arrangements and experience in developing countries**

[incomplete – other materials to be included]

### **12 Experience in Africa**

- 12.1 Southern Africa
  - 12.1.1 Southern African views on sustainable development and approaches to sustainability appraisal
  - 12.1.2 Integrated environmental management and integrated planning

- 12.1.3 Socio-economic Empowerment Charter for the South African mining industry
  - 12.1.4 National Environmental Management Act (NEMA 1998)
  - 12.1.5 Sustainable development reporting by mining companies
  - 12.1.6 Cape Action Plan for the Environment
  - 12.1.7 Human settlement policy framework
  - 12.1.8 Rössing uranium mine sustainability assessment, Namibia
  - 12.2 Ethiopia
- 13 Experience in Asia**
- 13.1 Aral Sea region
- 14 Donor approaches**
- 14.1 Australia - Ausaid
  - 14.2 Canadian International Development Agency (CIDA)
  - 14.3 The World Bank

## **PART 5: Retrospect and prospect**

### **15 Sustainability appraisal and strategies for sustainable development**

- 15.1 On the challenge of sustainability appraisal
- 15.2 Scenario planning
- 15.3 Learning from strategic planning frameworks
  - 15.3.1 Targets
  - 15.3.2 New thinking on strategies
  - 15.3.3 Strategy principles and characteristics
  - 15.3.4 Strategies should be learning systems
  - 15.3.5 Establishing a coordinated system
  - 15.3.6 A pattern of change
  - 15.3.7 Strategies: a shared challenge in the North and South
  - 15.3.8 Time to seize the opportunity
- 15.4 The role of SA in sustainable development and poverty reduction strategies

### **16 Conclusions and recommendations for further work**

## **References**

## **Appendices**

- 1 The ASSIPAC sustainability assessment checklist
- 2 Canadian framework for the application of precaution in science-based decision making
- 3 Key references on the social dimension of sustainability appraisal
- 4 Basic system orientors
- 5 UNEP's integrated planning procedure
- 6 UNEP's Integrated Assessment and Planning Approach: Format for undertaking a preliminary assessment (with examples for element 2 of the planning process)
- 7 Sustainability indicators relating to transport

## **Boxes**

- 1.1 Background of the review
- 1.2 Impact assessment as an synthetic approach
- 1.3 Sustainability assessment: examples of approaches/applications and their brand names
- 1.4 General sustainability requirements
- 1.5 The Bellagio principles for assessing progress towards Sustainable development
- 1.6 Sustainability appraisal in the UK
- 1.7 Hong Kong Guideline on Sustainability Assessment (SA)
- 1.8 Strengths and dangers of an integrative sustainability assessment framework
  
- 2.1 Agenda 21 as a basis for analysis
- 2.2 The quest for a single indicator of sustainable development
- 2.3 Examples of sustainable development indicator initiatives
- 2.4 Steps in the ASSIPAC framework
- 2.5 Threshold 21: core model
- 2.6 Examples of ‘customised’ T21 applications
- 2.7 Examples of trade-offs
- 2.8 Possible general rules for decisions about trade-offs and compromises (basic options), and general process considerations
  
- 3.1 World Bank operational definition of environmental sustainability
- 3.2 Principles and system conditions for long-term sustainability
- 3.3 The IPAT Relationship
- 3.4 Strong sustainability rules
  
- 4.1 Integrated assessment of trade liberalisation – banana sector in Ecuador
- 4.2 The importance of social capital for project success
- 4.3 Capturing feedback mechanisms between economy and environment computable general equilibrium modelling - Vietnam
- 4.4 Long-term integration of economic and environmental variables – Pakistan
- 4.5 Modelling of sustainable development indicators – Fraser River Basin, British Columbia, Canada
- 4.6 Valuation techniques
- 4.7 Application of the EDRC in three sectors in Chile
- 4.8 Integrated assessment of the cotton sector in China
- 4.9 Assessment of trade liberalisation effects on the fisheries sector, Argentina
- 4.10 The Genuine Progress Indicator
- 4.11 The ISEW applied in Chile
- 4.12 The revised applied ISEW in Austria
  
- 5.1 Tools for stages in integrated assessment and planning
- 5.2 Principles for integrated policy appraisal
- 5.3 Process for indicator selection
  
- 6.1 Forms of integration
- 6.2 Computer-aided Sustainability Evaluation Tool (CASET), Hong Kong
- 6.3 Integrated environmental impact assessment: a Canadian example
- 6.4 UK Guidance on SEA and SA for national policies
- 6.5 UK Guidance on SEA and SA of development plans
- 6.6 Tasks in sustainability appraisal proposed by the Town and Country Planning Association
- 6.7 20 key components of a proposed integrated sustainability assessment framework
- 6.8 Generic sustainability-based criteria for evaluating the significance of effects

- 6.9 Generic criteria for evaluating the significance of trade-off elements
- 7.1 Proposed steps in sustainability assessment of renewable energy projects
- 8.1 Environmental sustainability assessment: six questions areas
- 8.2 Corporate sustainability assessment: the case of Crystal Flash, Michigan, USA
- 8.3 Product sustainability assessment (PROSA): five basic steps
- 8.4 Six steps in sustainability assessment for enterprises (SAFE)
- 8.5 Bank Sarasin sustainability assessment procedure
- 8.6 The triple bottom line and sustainable capitalism
- 9.1 UNEP manual for integrated assessment of trade policy
- 9.2 Sustainability impact assessment of WTO multilateral trade negotiations
- 10.1 Examples of issue considered in DOTIS
- 10.2 ISCAM (integrated sustainable cities assessment method)
- 10.3 Sustainability assessment of Ann Arbor Campus, University of Michigan
- 10.4 Citizen sustainability assessment: key questions for solid waste management
- 10.5 Community sustainability assessment checklist
- 11.1 World Commission on Dams: performance assessment of large dams
- 11.2 Strategic priorities for dams and guidelines for good practice in assessing options and planning and implementing dam projects
- 11.3 The MMSD process
- 11.4 MMSD North America's seven question framework
- 12.1 Sustainable development: A South African perspective
- 12.2 Integrated environmental management and planning: separate systems
- 12.3 Integrated development plans, South Africa
- 12.4 Development of the Cape Action Plan for the Environment
- 12.5 Rössing uranium mine sustainability assessment
- 12.6 A sustainability development appraisal in Ethiopia
- 14.1 Applying sustainability assessment at CIDA: Implications for policy integration and process consolidation
- 14.2 Review of World Bank environmental performance and assessment work
- 15.1 A framework for sustainability analysis
- 15.2 Futurology: experience from India
- 15.3 Uses of scenarios in environmental assessments
- 15.4 Global Scenarios
- 15.5 Steps in the European Environment Agency's 'story and simulation approach'
- 15.6 Scenario-planning in South Africa
- 15.7 National conservation strategies and national environmental action plans
- 15.8 Poverty reduction strategies
- 15.9 Illustrative steps for starting, managing and continually improving a strategy for sustainable development
- 15.10 Scenario planning and the Dutch National Environmental Policy Plan
- 15.11 Progress with PRSPs: Key points of the comprehensive review by World Bank and IMF
- 16.1 Best practice sustainability assessment process

## Figures

- 1.1 Sustainability appraisal terminology and acronyms: alphabet soup
- 1.2 SEA approaches moving towards sustainability appraisal
- 2.1 Gross Domestic Product versus Genuine Progress Indicator: United States 1950-1999
- 2.2 Environmental weight declines as the number of human “subsystems” increases
- 2.3 The Dashboard of Sustainability: an example for Canada
- 2.4 Group Barometer of Sustainability, showing the well-being of North and Central America.
- 2.5 Individual Barometer of Sustainability, showing the well-being of Canada
- 2.6 Simplified stages in the soft systems methodology
- 2.7 Example of a systemic arrangement of parts
- 2.8 Sustainability components arranged hierarchically
- 2.9 T21 core model
- 3.1 \*\*\*\*\*
- 4.1 Steps in regional sustainability assessment procedure
- 6.1 Five-stage approach to SEA / SA
- 6.2 Integrated Sustainability Assessment “Thinking Tool”
  - 6.1 Integrated Sustainability Assessment “Thinking Tool”
  - 7.1 Sustainability polygon for a Swiss mixed farm
  - 7.2 Sustainability appraisal for renewable energy projects
  - 8.1A SAFE status quo analysis
  - 8.1B SAFE questionnaire
  - 8.2 A SAM signature for a ‘typical’ oil and gas field development
  - 8.3 Example SpeAR diagram for University of California Mercur campus
  - 8.4 Bank Sarasin country rating: The Netherlands
  - 8.5 Absolute sustainability portfolio
- 10.1 Part of City of Melbourne sustainability assessment questionnaire
- 10.2 Integrated assessment framework
  - 12.1 Approach to the Cape Action Plan for the Environment (CAPE) Project
  - 15.1 Rationale for a systematic approach to sustainable development strategies
  - 15.2 A ‘continuous improvement’ approach to sustainable development strategies
  - 15.3 Constellation of mechanisms contributing to a sustainable development strategy

## Tables

- 1.1 The evolving paradigm – from EIA to SA?
- 1.2 Integration of environmental assessment and governance
- 1.3 EIA, SEA and Sustainability assessment compared
- 2.1 Three main approaches to measuring and analysing sustainability
- 2.2 Indicator-based assessments of sustainability
- 2.3 ASSIPAC topic integration categories
  - 3.1 The evolving paradigm -- from EA to ESA and SA
  - 4.1 Methods for integrating economic, environmental and social analysis
  - 4.2 Example debt sustainability analysis: Benin
  - 5.1 Western Australian sustainability principles and criteria
  - 5.2 Draft set of indicators for the Human & social sub-system for New Zealand/Aotearoa
  - 6.1 Integration of environmental assessment and governance
  - 6.2 Stages, decisions and outputs of SEA and sustainability appraisal
  - 6.3 Example sustainability appraisal framework
  - 6.4A Testing the consistency of policy elements for a hypothetical land-use plan
  - 6.4B Example of a policy impact matrix for forecasting
  - 6.4C Example of a policy record sheet

- 6.5 Examples of sustainability appraisal on providing piped water to isolated rural communities in Ghana
- 7.1 Objectives, benefits and disbenefits and potential data sources relating to renewable energy: some examples
- 8.1 Corporate sustainability assessment criteria and weightings
- 8.2 Stages in the SAM corporate sustainability assessments
- 8.3 Centre Info capital indicators
- 8.4 Centre Info calculation of social capital note: example for Belgium
- 8.5 Shell Canada sustainable development principles
- 8.6 Scoring sustainability at Shell Canada
- 8.7 SIGMA management framework
- 9.1 SIA of EU-Chile trade negotiations
- 10.1 The BEQUEST categorisation of environmental assessment methods: examples
- 11.1 Example (environment) question , with hierarchy of objectives, indicators and measurements
- 12.1 Scorecard for the broad-based Socio-economic Empowerment Charter for the South African Mining Industry
- 12.2 National policy principles for environmental management, South Africa
- 15.1 Changing approaches to strategies
- 15.2 Environmental review of Vietnam PRSP
- 15.3 SAIEA PRSP assessment framework

## ACKOWLEDGEMENTS

This report has been prepared with generous financial assistance provided by the Royal Norwegian Ministry of Foreign Affairs (MFA). We are grateful for the support and encouragement of Inger-Marie Bjonness (MFA) and Knut Opsal (NORAD).

The report has been prepared as part of a programme of work to investigate the role and potential of sustainability appraisal as a key tool for sustainable development. It is also a contribution to the work programme of an OECD Development Assistance Committee (DAC) Task Group on SEA. The latter aims to review experiences of, and opportunities for, using SEA and sustainability appraisal; develop DAC guidance on SEA; develop tools to assist with the advocacy/marketing of SEA with decision-makers; and provide for a continuing inter-agency and cross-sector forum to share experiences and learn lessons in using SEA in development cooperation, and to track progress.

We have drawn information from a wide variety of web-based and other sources and are grateful to many individuals who have provided assistance or pointed us to relevant areas of work

Grateful thanks must also be extended to the participants of several workshops on sustainability appraisal organised in association with colleagues and partner organisations, particularly:

- (a) *Government officials and practitioners workshop* (hosted by Southern Africa Institute for Environmental Impact Assessment, 3 March 2004)
- (b) *Mining Sector workshop* (hosted by South African Chamber of Mines, 4 March 2004)
- (c) *Specialist meeting* (Victoria, Vancouver Island, 23 April 2004) and
- (d) *Round Table, IAIA '04* (Vancouver, 28 April 2004)

